Genetic and biogeographic relationships of the racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Gobiidae: Teleostei) from introduced and native Eurasian locations
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The racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus*, along with several other neogobiiin fishes, has been spreading north and west from its native Ponto-Caspian range for the past two decades via shipping and canals. It has been predicted as a likely future invader of the North American Great Lakes, where it would join its neogobiiin relatives – the round and freshwater tubenose gobies. The present study is the first to analyse the population genetic and biogeographic relationships of the racer goby, establishing a baseline to aid interpretation of its future spread patterns and likely donor-recipient population relationships. The mitochondrial DNA cytochrome *b* gene was sequenced from representative areas of the racer goby’s range, including rivers of the northern Black Sea and areas of spread upstream in the Danube River and outside the Ponto-Caspian region to the Vistula River in Poland. Results discerned nine haplotypes, with few shared among drainages of native rivers and most sites housing unique alleles. Racer goby populations significantly diverged among watersheds, supporting historic low migration and little non-anthropogenic gene flow. The Dnieper River was identified as a likely donor source for the Vistula River colonization, where appreciable variability suggests a relatively large number of founding genotypes.
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INTRODUCTION

During the past two decades, many native Ponto-Caspian species have anthropogenically expanded their ranges to new habitats in Europe and the North American Great Lakes through shipping, canals and ballast water exchange (Ricciardi & MacIsaac, 2000; Kolar & Lodge, 2002; Grigorovich *et al.*, 2003). These include several species of Ponto-Caspian Neogobiinae Gobiidae fishes, notably the round goby *Apollonia melanostoma* (Pallas, 1840) and the freshwater tubenose goby *Proterorhinus semifilunaris* (Heckel, 1814) [classification of the round goby was changed by Stepień & Tumeo (2006) from *Neogobius*...
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melanostomus (Pallas, 1814) due to paraphyly and the freshwater tubenose goby was defined as a separate species from the marine tubenose goby *P. melanostomus* (Pallas, 1814). The round goby's invasion has exerted many ecological changes (Jude et al., 1992; Corkum et al., 2004; Stepien & Tumeo, 2006). Other neogobiins, including the racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Kessler, 1857) examined in the present study, are also predicted to enter and become established in the Great Lakes (Kolar & Lodge, 2002), rendering baseline population genetic data critically important for correct taxonomic identification as well as to diagnose likely donor population pathways.

The historic range of the racer goby *N. gymnotrachelus* (Fig. 1) comprises fresh and slightly brackish waters of the northern rivers and estuaries leading to the Black, Azov and Caspian Seas; including the Danube, Dniester, southern Bug, Dnieper and Don Rivers (Miller, 2003; Grabowska, 2005; Jurajda et al., 2005). During the past decade, the racer goby spread through many Eurasian waterways, with its first recording in the mid-1990s from the middle section of the western Bug River (the Vistula River basin) (Grabowska, 2005). The racer goby then spread quickly throughout the Vistula River, to the middle and lower regions down to Toruń, Poland (site 1; Fig. 1) (Grabowska & Grabowski, 2005; Kakareko et al., 2005). Upstream expansion of the racer goby occurred throughout the Danube River basin, with establishment in Serbia, Bratislava, Slovakia (site 2; Fig. 1) (Kautman, 2001) and Vienna, Austria by the late 1990s (Wiesner, 2005).

![Map indicating locations of sampling sites (numbered 1–8; Table II) surrounding the Black and Azov Seas in Europe. Light shading indicates original range of the racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* and dark shading denotes regions of range expansion, following Miller (2003). Letters designate haplotypes (A–I) found at each location (some of the collections are located outside the published range expansion areas, attributed to more recent spread).](image-url)
Various factors have been proposed as potentially contributing to recent neogobiin spread throughout Eurasia, including ballast water transport, dam and reservoir construction, climate change, pollution and natural migration patterns (Miller, 2003; Vasil’eva, 2003; Grabowska, 2005). Neogobiins lack a larval stage, and the adults have no swimbladder (Pinchuk, 1991), which may help to reduce their natural dispersal. Anthropogenic transport of the round goby *Apollonia melanostoma* (Pallas, 1814) to the Great Lakes has been attributed to ballast water uptake of juveniles that rise to feed in the water column at night and are benthic during the day (Hensler & Jude, 2007). Like other neogobiins, the racer goby’s plasticity in foraging behaviour and prey utilization may aid adaptedness in new habitats (Kostrzewa & Grabowski, 2003; Grabowska & Grabowski, 2005; Kakareko et al., 2005). Also, characteristic of most neogobiins, the racer goby’s long spring reproductive period and parental care may augment establishment (Grabowska, 2005), with the males guarding nests under shells, stones or vegetation for c. 2 weeks until the eggs hatch (Georghiev, 1966; Grabowska, 2005). However, the racer goby is rarer in new habitats in comparison to the round goby or the bighead goby *Neogobius kessleri* (Günther, 1861) (Jurajda et al., 2005), meriting further studies to evaluate their spread and establishment patterns.

Understanding the role of population genetics during a non-indigenous species introduction is critical for making correct ecological comparisons between donor-recipient populations, undertaking a comprehensive risk analysis (Stepien et al., 2005) and for acting preemptively to prevent further spread. The current study aimed to provide a genetic baseline for understanding the racer goby’s historic, present and future population patterns. Mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequences were analysed from exotic and native populations of the racer goby across Eurasia. Although several studies previously examined the racer goby’s ecology (Kostrzewa & Grabowski, 2003; Grabowska, 2005; Kakareko et al., 2005), this was the first investigation of its population genetics, thus providing an important comparison to other neogobiins (Dillon & Stepien, 2001; Stepien et al., 2005; Stepien & Tumeo, 2006), Ponto-Caspian taxa and to exotic species overall. These baseline population genetic data will facilitate correct ecological comparisons among donor-recipient areas in the future.

**METHODS**

Racer goby samples were collected by hook-and-line or seining and then stored in 95% ethanol at room temperature. A total of 80 specimens were analysed from native and range expansion locations in Eurasia (Fig. 1). Although the present sampling efforts aimed to be comprehensive, sample sizes varied due to the rarity of the racer goby in some regions. Thus, a conservative approach was taken in this study in interpreting the results.

Genomic DNA was extracted from fin or muscle tissue and purified using the DNeasy tissue kit and protocol (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, U.S.A.). The mitochondrial cytochrome b gene was amplified using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in 25 μl reactions containing 2 μl (c. 100 ng) of template DNA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8·3, 50 mM KCl, 1·5 mM MgCl₂, 1 μM of each primer, 200 μM of each dNTP and 1·5 units of *Taq* polymerase. Primers were AJG15 (Akihito et al., 2000) and H15343g (5’-GGGTTATTAGATCCTGTTCGTGTAGG-3’; designed by graduate student M. Neilson). A negative control containing no template DNA was used in each run.
PCR reactions comprised 35 cycles of 45 s at 94°C (denaturation), 30 s at 52°C (annealing) and 60 s at 72°C (extension), followed by a final extension step for 3 min. The double-stranded PCR products were visualized on an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and then purified using a QIAquick kit (Qiagen, Inc.). Sequencing was performed separately in both directions for verification at the Cornell University Life Sciences Core Laboratories Center (http://cores.lifesciences.cornell.edu/brcinfo) using an automated Applied Biosystems 3730 sequencer and the primer sets Song F (Song et al., 1998) and HI5149 (Kocher et al., 1989).

Sequences were aligned and haplotypes identified using Bioedit, version 7.0 (Hall, 1999: http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html) with ClustalW alignment. Genetic analysis was performed on the consensus sequence of 445 bp of the left domain of the cytochrome b gene using the Arlequin software package, version 3.0 (Excoffier et al., 2005). Comparative measures of genetic variability included the frequency and distribution of haplotypes and haplotype diversity (i.e. the probability that two individuals chosen at random will have different haplotypes). The probability that samples from different river drainages significantly differed was measured using pair-wise $F_{ST}$ analog ($b_{ST}$; Weir & Cockerham, 1984) and genetic differentiation contingency tests (Raymond & Rousset, 1995), with sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989).

A tree of haplotype relationships was constructed using the neighbour-joining algorithm (Saitou & Nei, 1987) in MEGA version 3.1 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis; Kumar et al., 2004) and 1000 bootstrap pseudo-replications were used to evaluate relative support (Felsenstein, 1985). Neogobin species believed to be most closely related to the racer goby, e.g. Neogobius syrman (Nordmann, 1840), Neogobius platyrostris (Pallas, 1814) and Mesogobius batrachocephalus (Pallas, 1814) per Stepień & Tumeo (2006) were used as outgroups. A haplotype network was constructed (modelled after Avise, 2000) to further elucidate their relationships.

**RESULTS**

Nine mtDNA cytochrome b gene haplotypes of the racer goby *N. gymnotrachelus* were discerned that differed at 12 nucleotide positions and were deposited as accession numbers AY884589 [reference haplotype G, from earlier work of Stepień et al. (2005) and Stepień & Tumeo (2006)] and EF584743-50 (haplotypes A–F, and H and I) in the NIH GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (Table I). All substitutions were transitions and all but one occurred at the third codon position. Haplotypes clustered in three groups (A–E, F–G, and H and I), shown in the neighbour-joining tree (Fig. 2) and haplotype network (Fig. 3). Haplotypes A–E differed by single substitutions (Table I and Figs 2 and 3), whereas haplotype F diverged from that group by three substitutions, equivalent to 0·67% pair-wise divergence. Haplotypes G and F differed by a single nucleotide. A greater difference distinguished the clade comprising haplotypes H and I (found in the Dnieper and Vistula Rivers), which diverged from F by five nucleotides, and a pair-wise distance of 1·12% (Table I and Figs 2 and 3).

Few haplotypes were shared among native river systems, with most characterized by unique haplotype assemblages (Tables I–III). Racer goby populations across their native range showed significant genetic divergences among river systems, using both $F_{ST}$ analogs (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) and $\chi^2$ contingency test comparisons (Raymond & Rousset, 1995) (Table III).

In the Dniester River, haplotype A was predominant, representing 78% of the north-west sample and 86% in the south-east (Table II). In the north-west Dniester River, haplotypes B and E also occurred, each constituting 11% of
Along with haplotype A, F was found in the south-east Dniester River and made up 14% of the individuals. Haplotype F was also found in the Danube River at Tekija, Serbia, where it was common. Three of four haplotypes (A, B and E) found in the Dniester River were unique (Table II) and closely related (Fig. 2). The north-west Dniester River samples were more diverse ($h = 0.417$) than the south-east ($h = 0.240$; Table II).

Table I. Haplotypes and their distinguishing single nucleotide polymorphism characters identified in the mtDNA cytochrome $b$ gene of the racer goby. Nucleotide positions are numbered according to the GenBank submissions, which are AY884589 for haplotype G (Stepien et al., 2005, Stepien & Tumeo, 2006) and EF584743-50 for haplotypes A–F, and H and I. The sequence for haplotype A is shown at all variable sites, and the bases for other haplotypes are shown only in nucleotide positions that differ from A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype letter</th>
<th>GenBank numbers 68 84 141 156 204 219 228 303 342 354 381 396</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>EF584746 t g t t a a t c c c t g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>EF584748 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EF584744 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>EF584750 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>EF584747 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>EF584743 c t a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>AY884589 c t a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>EF584745 a c g g c t t a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>EF584749 a c g g c t t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Neighbour-joining tree of relationships among racer goby cytochrome $b$ sequence haplotypes (lettered A–I according to Table I), constructed with MEGA version 3.1 (Nei et al., 2004). Numbers at nodes denote bootstrap per cent support values, determined using 1000 pseudo-replications. Parentheses denote river sites and sampling locations (1–8) in which each haplotype was found, corresponding to Table II and Fig. 1. Closely related species to the racer goby (Stepien & Tumeo, 2006) were used as outgroups.
Haplotype C was present in the Danube River at Bratislava, Slovakia, constituting 100% of the sample as well as in Serbia (Table II). Haplotype C is closely related to A–E (found in the Dniester and Southern Bug Rivers; Fig. 2). Two haplotypes were identified from the Danube River invasive location at Tekija, Serbia, comprising 75% F and 25% C. Haplotype F was rare elsewhere, occurring only in the south-east Dniester River sample at 14% frequency (Table II). Haplotype F is closely related to haplotype G and somewhat more distantly to A–E (see haplotype network of Fig. 3) and was present in the Dniester, Danube and Southern Bug Rivers.

In the Southern Bug River at Mykolaiv, Ukraine, only a single individual was sampled, whose haplotype (D) appeared unique to that site (Table II). Haplotype D was closely related to types A–E from the Dniester and Danube Rivers (Figs 2 and 3). In the Dnieper River, two haplotypes were detected (G and H), which were shared between the northern and southern sites (Table II). Haplotype G made up 80% of the northern and 50% of the southern Dnieper River sample, whereas H totalled 20% in the north and 50% in the south (Table II). The Dnieper River samples shared haplotypes G and H with the invasive Vistula River site, which were exclusively found in these locations. The Vistula River sample comprised 83% H and 0.08% G, although the latter appeared more common in the northern Dnieper River. The Vistula River sample appeared genetically closest to the Dnieper River samples.

**DISCUSSION**

MtDNA cytochrome b haplotypes of the racer goby *N. gymnotrachelus* are partitioned among different river systems across their native range, revealing
Table II. Sampling locations (with numbers corresponding to the map of Fig. 1) and their mtDNA cytochrome b gene haplotype frequencies and diversities for populations of the racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (population location 4 includes sampling sites at Makarovka and Yampil in Ukraine representing the north-west Dniester River, and location 5 includes Belgorod-Dnestrovsky and Bilyayvika in Ukraine as the south-east Dniester River; grouped according to their geographic proximity and similar haplotype distribution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling location</th>
<th>Body of water</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Number of haplotypes</th>
<th>Haplotype distribution</th>
<th>Haplotype diversity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (introduced)</td>
<td>Vistula River</td>
<td>Toruń, Poland</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.08G 0.83H 0.08I</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (introduced)</td>
<td>Danube River</td>
<td>Bratislava, Slovakia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00C 0.75F</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (introduced)</td>
<td>Danube River</td>
<td>Tekija, Serbia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25C 0.11B</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (native)</td>
<td>North-west Dniester River</td>
<td>Makarovka &amp; Yampil, Ukraine</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.78A 0.11E</td>
<td>0.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (native)</td>
<td>South-east Dniester River</td>
<td>Belgorod-Dnestrovsky and Bilyayvika, Ukraine</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.86A 0.14F</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (native)</td>
<td>Southern Bug River</td>
<td>Mykolaiv, Ukraine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00D N/A</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (native)</td>
<td>Northern Dnieper River</td>
<td>Kiev, Ukraine</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.80G 0.020H</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (native)</td>
<td>Southern Dnieper River</td>
<td>Zaporizhzhya Reservoir, Ukraine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.50G 0.50H</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant population structure and little historic gene flow among indigenous sites in different watersheds. The neighbour-joining tree and phylogeographic network of haplotype relationships elucidated three groups of haplotypes, whose divergences likely stemmed from their glacial refugium histories and merit further sampling and investigation. The present study provides the first genetic evidence that the Dnieper River in Ukraine (part of the native range of the species) is the likely source population for the racer goby’s invasion of the Vistula River, Poland. The Pripyat-Bug Canal system (termed an ‘invasion corridor’; Bij de Vaate, 2002) connects the Dnieper, Pripyat, western Bug and Vistula Rivers (Grabowska, 2005) and is the most probable route for the racer goby’s expansion.

Conventional population genetic theory states that non-indigenous populations are likely to be subject to a ‘founder effect’, originating from a few individuals that carry only a small proportion of the source population’s genetic diversity (Mayr, 1963; Williamson, 1996). However, racer goby haplotype diversity appears appreciable in expansion sites in the Danube River at Tekija, Serbia and the Vistula River at Toruń, Poland, which may be a product or combination of the following: (1) the colonization sources in turn having considerable haplotype diversity (e.g. the Dnieper River in the case of the Vistula River), (2) several independent introductions into the expansion areas, and/or (3) a high propagule number and rate of spread into new areas. Exotic populations of round and tubenose gobies in the North American Great Lakes likewise have high genetic diversities, similar to those of native Ponto-Caspian populations (Dillon & Stepien, 2001; Stepien et al., 2005; Stepien & Tumeo, 2006). In addition, non-indigenous populations of the round goby genetically diverge among the Great Lakes (as well as across Eurasia), supporting all three of the above-listed factors (Dillon & Stepien, 2001; Stepien et al., 2005; Stepien & Tumeo, 2006). Further sampling and analysis of racer goby populations across their native and introduced ranges, as well as additional comparisons with other neogobiins and other Ponto-Caspian taxa, will provide important information for interpreting the role of genetic diversity in their spread.

In contrast, no haplotype diversity was discerned in a region of the Danube River located further upstream at Bratislava, Slovakia, where the racer goby was first recorded in 1999 (Kautman, 2001). This upstream site may have lower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vistula River 1</th>
<th>Danube River 2, 3</th>
<th>Dniester River 4, 5</th>
<th>Dnieper River 7, 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vistula River 1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube River 2, 3</td>
<td>0.791*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dniester River 4, 5</td>
<td>0.892*</td>
<td>0.378*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dnieper River 7, 8</td>
<td>0.582*</td>
<td>0.518*</td>
<td>0.756*</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
genetic diversity than downstream locations due to its recency or as an artefact of the small sample size, which should be further tested.

Interconnection of European river basins through canal construction dates to the 17th century (Bij de Vaate et al., 2002) and thus cannot account entirely for the sharp and sudden peak in range expansion of the racer goby (and other neogobins) since the 1990s, following their historic confinement to the Ponto-Caspian region. Moreover, lack of detailed historical and modern collection data complicate attempts at reconstructing their spread patterns. As sampling is often concentrated around urban centres, it is difficult to discern whether new colonizations result from ‘sudden’ transport via ballast water or gradual expansion through canals and waterways. Genetic analysis of additional samples along waterways would aid in interpreting these patterns and the mechanism for population spread.

In summary, this study comprises a baseline for elucidating the invasion population genetics and phylogeographic history of the racer goby, providing important comparative data for interpreting its future range expansion and those of other taxa. These mitochondrial cytochrome $b$ sequence data revealed marked divergence among native populations of the racer goby in different river systems, which is useful for tracing their historic and contemporary relationships.
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