Personality Assessment PSY 6230/7230 SPRING 2016; Tu 2:00-4:30pm Room: UHall 1610 Joni L Mihura, PhD, Ohio Lic. #5193 UH 1067; 419-530-2716 joni.mihura@utoledo.edu Lab Instructors: Ben Berry & Joanna Piedmont

Course Description. This is a graduate level course designed to familiarize you with personality assessment. It is largely focused on assessment with adults although I've provided you some references for children and adolescents. The class covers the theory of measuring psychological constructs, the tests themselves, and personality assessments in general. It is important to realize that 'personality' assessment is somewhat of a misnomer; personality assessment is not limited to personality disorders but covers psychopathology more broadly. This is also consistent with DSM-5's changes to omit Axis I for mental disorders and Axis II for personality disorders as "there is no fundamental difference between disorders described on DSM-IV's Axis I and Axis II (American Psychiatric Association, 2013, Personality Disorders Fact Sheet).

Objectives. Upon completion of this course, you are expected to have basic knowledge and/or ability in the following areas:

- 1. Broader theoretical and empirical foundations for assessing people
- 2. The theory of measuring psychological constructs
- 3. The basic components of personality assessment and its methods
- 4. Collaborative/therapeutic assessment (C/TA)
- 5. Test construction for the major personality assessment methods
- 6. Rorschach, self- and observer-rating tests: Administration, scoring, and interpretation procedures
- 7. Integration, understanding, and application of assessment results to
 - a. Answer referral questions
 - b. Develop treatment plans/recommendations (very preliminary)
- 8. Dissemination and communication of test results (e.g., test reports and client feedback)

Process. The course will be a combination of lectures, discussions, case examples, practice interpretation of cases, exams, reports, and a lab.

Evaluation Methods. Your final grade for the course will be based on the following components:

- 1. 4 Exams (20% [4 x 5%])
- 2. PAI Summary (5%)
- 3. PAI/MMPI Summary (PAI/MMPI-2) (10%)
- 4. R-PAS Summary (5%)
- 5. Integrated Report #1 (PAI/MMPI-2/R-PAS) (15%)
- 6. Integrated Report #2 (PAI/MMPI-2/R-PAS) (15%)
- 7. Participation (10%)
- 8. Lab component (20%) (See Lab syllabus)

Reports. You will write three assessment summaries and two assessment reports, using the tests as described above. You are required to include the source (e.g., the test scale and/or clinical interview information) of your interpretive statement in parentheses with each interpretation. **Please email your reports to me in Microsoft Word. Do not print your report for me.** I will provide feedback in Track Changes. In this way, I can also provide edits with wording suggestions for your narrative.

Exams. There will be 4 exams, covering the material up to the day of the exam. Please mark these on your calendar; you will be responsible for remembering exams days.

Discussion and Participation. You are expected to attend and participate in the class. Missing more than two classes or labs (unexcused absences) will result in your final grade for the class being lowered one letter grade. For example, if you have unexcused absences for one class period and two lab meetings, your final grade will be lowered a letter grade. For participation, you start with an A in the class. If you are not participating in a meaningful way in the class discussion, your final grade will also be lowered. This decision will not be based on any one class, but an overall assessment of your participation. However, you should expect to make at least two meaningful contributions to class each week. A 'meaningful contribution' is minimally defined as indicating knowledge of the class material up to and including that class period (e.g., readings and assignments).

Lab Component. Meet weekly with the TA to cover test administration and scoring procedures. Because Rorschach administration and scoring procedures are complex, you will start learning about these procedures in lab before we cover the test's empirical foundation and clinical interpretation in class.

Collaboration. You are *encouraged* to collaborate on your assignments in regard to discussions of administering and scoring tests, interpretation of the assessment data, conceptualization of the cases, and basic understanding of the class didactic material. This peer collaborative approach will allow you to learn from the input of your peers, gain from their perspectives, and learn to communicate and discuss assessment findings with other colleagues. However, the final products (e.g., test scoring, report writing) must be your own unique products. If there is material in this class from cases that have been used in previous semesters of this class (e.g., exams, test scoring, written reports), obtaining and using that material is considered cheating and the university rules of academic dishonesty apply.

Accommodations. If you have a disability and need assistance for this class, please contact the Office of Accessibility in Rocket Hall 1820 (http://www.utoledo.edu/utlc/accessibility; Phone: 419-530-4981; TTY: 419-530-2612).

Title IX: Sexual Discrimination and Harassment. The University of Toledo is committed to educational and working environments that are free from sex discrimination (including sexual harassment and sexual violence) or retaliation. Individuals who experience sexual misconduct in a University program or activity can address their complaints to UT's Title IX coordinator: http://www.utoledo.edu/title-ix/

Ethical Considerations. Some confidential client data is used in this class. The test results and reports are redacted for anonymity, but there are also videos of clients. Please follow the ethical guidelines regarding protection of assessment data and information. For general assessment purposes, be familiar with the APA Ethical Principles for Psychologists and Code of Conduct, especially Standard 9: http://www.apa.org/ethics/code/

GRADING SCALE: A = 100-93%; A- = 90-92%; B+ = 87-89%; B = 83-86%; B- = 80-82%; C+ = 77-79%; C = 73-76%; C- = 70-72%; D+ = 67-69%; D = 63-66%; D- = 60-62%; F = 0-59%.

Textbook Required to Use for Class (For Other Required Readings, See Class Schedule Below)

Meyer, G. J., Viglione, D. J., Mihura, J. L., Erard, R. E., & Erdberg, P. (2011). Rorschach Performance Assessment System: Administration, coding, interpretation, and technical manual. Toledo, OH: Author.

Other References for MMPI-2/MMPI-2-RF and PAI Test Interpretation

Greene, R. (2010). MMPI-2/MMPI-2-RF: An interpretive manual (3rd ed.). Pearson.

Morey, L. C. (2003). Essentials of PAI assessment. Wiley.

Blais, M. A., Baity, M. R., & Hopwood, C. J. (2011). Clinical applications of the Personality Assessment Inventory. Routledge,

CLASS SCHEDULE		
Date	Subject and Associated Readings and Handouts	Assignment
1/10	➤ Introduction to Class	No Readings:
	Discussion of Career Goals as a Context for Guiding Coursework	Class Exercises
	Learning Assessment Exercise and Discussion	and Discussion
1/17	 ➢ Introspection as a Way of Knowing: Insights from our Experimental Colleagues Wilson, T. D., & Dunn, E. W. (2004). Self-knowledge: Its limits, value, and potential for improvement. Annual Review of Psychology, 55, 493–518. Reference (not required, but the classic [over 4,000 citations] article): Nisbett, R. E., & Wilson, T. D. (1977). Telling more than we can know: Verbal reports 	Readings
	 on mental processes. Psychological Review, 8, 231–259. Introspection/Self-Report in Research Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., & Funder, D. C. (2007). Psychology as the science of self-reports and finger movements: Whatever happened to actual behavior? Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2, 396-403. 	
1/24	 Introspection/Self-Report in Assessment (or Understanding Ourselves and Others) Pronin, E., & Kugler, M. B. (2007). Valuing thoughts, ignoring behavior: The introspection illusion as a source of the bias blind spot. <i>Journal Of Experimental Social Psychology</i>, 43, 565-578. Robinson, M. D., & Clore, G. L. (2002). Belief and feeling: Evidence for an accessibility model of emotional self-report. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i>, 128, 934-960. Reference: Henry, B., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., Langley, J., & Silva, P. A. (1994). On the "remembrance of things past": A longitudinal evaluation of the retrospective method. <i>Psychological Assessment</i>, 6, 92-101. Construct Validity: Theory, Past and Present 	Readings
	 Campbell, D. T., & Fiske, D. W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i>, <i>56</i>, 81-105 Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P.E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i>, <i>52</i>, 281-302. Borsboom, D., Mellenbergh, G. J., & van Heerden, J. (2004). The concept of validity. <i>Psychological Review</i>, <i>111</i>, 1061-1071. 	
1/31	 Utilizing Multiple Methods in Assessment Connelly, B. S., & Ones, D. S. (2010). An other perspective on personality: Meta- analytic integration of observers' accuracy and predictive validity. Psychological Bulletin, 136, 1092-1122. Meyer, G. J et al. (2001). Psychological testing and psychological assessment: A review of evidence and issues. American Psychologist, 56, 128-165. Mihura, J. L. (2012). The necessity of multiple test methods in conducting assessments: The role of the Rorschach and self-report. Psychological Injury and Law, 5, 97-106. Read for the logic 	Readings

Review Groth-Marnat & Wright (2016) Chapters 1 and 2 from Cognitive Assessment Readings Overview of Psychological Assessment Self-Horn, S. L., Mihura, J. L., & Meyer, G. J. (2013). Psychological assessment in adult mental health settings. In K. F. Geisinger et al. (Eds.), APA handbook of Administration of PAI after testing and assessment in psychology, Vol. 2: Testing and assessment in clinical and class and finish counseling psychology. (pp. 231-252). Washington, DC: American Psychological prior to next Association. class. Keep the Children (Reference): Barry, C. T., Frick, P. J., & Kamphaus, R. W. (2013). results for your Psychological assessment in child mental health settings. In K. F. Geisinger et own reference. al. (Eds.). APA handbook of testing and assessment in psychology, Vol. 2: Testing and assessment in clinical and counseling psychology (pp. 253-270). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. ➤ Therapeutic/Collaborative Assessment: An Introduction Finn, S. E. (2007). Introduction: What is therapeutic assessment? In our clients' shoes: Theory and techniques of therapeutic assessment (pp. 3-15). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc. Adolescents (Reference): Binder, P.E., Moltu, C., Sagen, S., Hummelsund, D., & Holgersen, H. (2013). Unique beings undergoing standardized evaluations -A study of adolescents' experiences of the assessment processes in psychotherapy. Journal of Psychotherapy Integration, 23, 107-119. ➤ Multicultural Assessment (this topic is also addressed in other readings) Comas-Diaz, L. (2011). Multicultural assessment: Understanding lives in context (Ch. 3). In Multicultural care: A clinician's guide to cultural competence. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. Brabender, V. M., & Mihura, J. L. (2016). The construction of gender and sex, and their implications for psychological assessment. In V. M. Brabender & J. L. Mihura (Eds.), Handbook of gender and sexuality in psychological assessment (pp. 3-43). New York, NY: Routledge. Reference: Mihura, J. L., & Brabender, V. M. (2016). Sex, gender, and sexuality in psychological assessment: Where do we go from here? In V. M. Brabender & J. L. Mihura (Eds.), Handbook of gender and sexuality in psychological assessment (pp. 655-682). New York, NY: Routledge. 2/14 ➤ Broadband Self-Report Measures: PAI & FFM Readings Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. G. (2016). Personality Assessment Inventory. Handbook of psychological assessment (pp. 371-416, 6th ed.). Wilev. Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. G. (2016). NEO Personality Inventory. Handbook of psychological assessment (pp. 489-512, 6th ed.). Wiley. ➤ In Class PAI Interpretation Practice (for PAI Summary due next week) > Interpretation and Report Writing Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. G. (2016). The psychological report. Handbook of psychological assessment (pp. 707-772, 6th ed.). Wiley. Also see Groth-Marnat's free webinar "Psychological Report Writing: Resources, Research, and Strategies" at http://apaonlineacademy.bizvision.com/product/10301

EXAM #1

2/07

➤ EXAM #1

2/21	➤ PAI Interpretations and Report Writing	PAI
	Report writing discussion & Q-sort of PAI findings	SUMMARY
	➤ Watch and Discuss Training Video (Intake for Case 1)	DUE
	➤ Broadband Self-Report Measures: MMPI	
	Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. G. (2016). Minnesota Multiphasic Personality	Readings
	Inventory. Handbook of psychological assessment (pp. 243-370, 6th ed.). Wiley.	
	> The Dimensional/Categorical Debate	
	Kraemer, H. C. (2007). DSM categories and dimensions in clinical and research	
	contexts. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 201, 532-533.	
	Streiner, D. L. (2002). Breaking up is hard to do: The heartbreak of	
	dichotomizing continuous data. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 47, 262-	
	266.	
	> -MMPI handouts (in class) including history of test development and test	
	interpretation	
2/28	➤ EXAM #2	EXAM #2
	➤ Brief Introduction to the Rorschach	
	Mihura, J. L., & Meyer, G. J. (2015). Rorschach Inkblot Test. In R. Cautin & S.	Readings
	Lilienfeld (Eds.), The encyclopedia of clinical psychology. (p. 1-6). Wiley-	
	Blackwell. DOI: 10.1002/9781118625392.wbecp517	
	➤ Rorschach, Case Example of Interpretation	
	Rorschach (R-PAS) Interpretation (Finish the reading, but no need to send the	
	discussion questions to TA)	
	Meyer, G. J., Viglione, D. J., Mihura, J. L., Erard, R. E., & Erdberg, P. (2011).	
	Interpretation (Ch. 10). Rorschach Performance Assessment System:	
	Administration, coding, interpretation, and technical manual. Toledo, OH:	
	Author.	
	Meyer, G. J., Viglione, D. J., Mihura, J. L., Erard, R. E., & Erdberg, P. (2011).	
	Clinical case interpretation (Ch. 11). Rorschach Performance Assessment System:	
	Administration, coding, interpretation, and technical manual. Toledo, OH:	
	Author.	
	Handout: R-PAS Interpretive Guideline for RM	
3/07	NO CLASS: SPRING BREAK	

3/14	NO CLASS: DR. MIHURA AT CONFERENCE (SPA)	PAI/MMPI-2
-, .	➤ Rorschach Empirical Considerations and Controversy	SUMMARY
	Lilienfeld, S. O., Wood, J. M. & Garb, H. N. (2000). The scientific status of	DUE
	projective techniques. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 1, 27-66.	
	Mihura, J. L., Meyer, G. J., Dumitrascu, N., & Bombel, G. (2013). The validity of	Readings
	individual Rorschach variables: Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of the	G
	comprehensive system. Psychological Bulletin, 139, 548-605.	
	Wood, J. M., Garb, H. N., Nezworski, M. T., Lilienfeld, S. O., & Duke, M. C.	
	(2015). A second look at the validity of widely used Rorschach indices:	
	Comment on Mihura, Meyer, Dumitrascu, and Bombel (2013). Psychological	
	Bulletin, 141, 236-249.	
	Mihura, J. L., Meyer, G. J., Bombel, G., & Dumitrascu, N. (2015). Standards,	
	accuracy, and questions of bias in Rorschach meta-analyses: Reply to Wood,	
	Garb, Nezworski, Lilienfeld, and Duke (2015). Psychological Bulletin, 141, 250-	
	260.	
	 R-PAS Variable Selection and Validity (An Interpretation Aid) 	
	Reference. Meyer, G. J., Viglione, D. J., Mihura, J. L., Erard, R. E., & Erdberg, P.	
	(2011). Variable selection and validity (Ch. 15). Rorschach Performance	
	Assessment System: Administration, coding, interpretation, and technical manual.	
	Toledo, OH: Author.	
3/21	> R-PAS Interpretation	EXAM #3
- /	Mihura, J. L. & Meyer, G. J. (in press). Introduction to Applications of the	
	Rorschach Performance Assessment System (R-PAS). In J. L. Mihura & G. J.	Readings
	Meyer (Eds.). Applications of the Rorschach Performance Assessment System (R-	_
	PAS) (pp. XX-XX). New York, NY: Guilford Press.	Review Case 1
	Mihura, J. L. & Meyer, G. J. (in press). Principles of R-PAS interpretation. In J. L.	(DK) R-PAS
	Mihura & G. J. Meyer (Eds.). Applications of the Rorschach Performance	Results and Be
	Assessment System (R-PAS) (pp. XX-XX). New York, NY: Guilford Press.	Ready to
	➤ Introduction to Case 1: R-PAS Case DK (with additional assessment data)	Discuss in Class
	➤ Discussion of Case 1's (DK) R-PAS Results	
3/28	Discuss Writing of R-PAS Summary	R-PAS
	Narrative Performance Methods: CCRT and TAT	SUMMARY
	Book, H. E. (1998). Identifying the CCRT focus. In How to practice brief	DUE
	psychodynamic psychotherapy: The core conflictual relationship theme method (Ch. 2)	
	Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.	Readings,
	Book, H. E. (1998). Making the unspoken components of the CCRT explicit. In	Review Case
	How to practice brief psychodynamic psychotherapy: The core conflictual relationship	Material
	theme method (Ch. 3) Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.	
	Luborsky, L. (1998). A guide to the CCRT method. In L. Luborsky & P. Crits-	
	Christoph (Eds.), Understanding transference: The Core Conflictual Relationship	
	Theme method (pp. 15-42). Washington, DC: APA.	
	Mihura, J. L., & Meyer, G. J. (2015). Thematic Apperception Test. In R. Cautin	
	& S. Lilienfeld (Eds.), The encyclopedia of clinical psychology. (p. 1-6). Wiley-	
	Blackwell. DOI: 10.1002/9781118625392.wbecp437	
	➤ Review DK's 2 nd Session (with Transcript) for CCRT Themes	

4/04	> Integration of Test Results	Readings
	Finn, S. E. (1996). Assessment feedback integrating MMPI-2 and Rorschach	
	findings. Journal of Personality Assessment, 67, 543-557.	Bring all
	Ganellen, R. J. (1996). Test interpretation III: Integrating MMPI-2-Rorschach	materials for
	findings. In Integrating the Rorschach and the MMPI-2 in personality assessment	Case 1
	(pp. 69-82). Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.	
	Blais, M. A., & Smith, S. R. (2014). Improving the integrative process in	
	psychological assessment: Data organization and report writing. In R. P.	
	Archer and S. R. Smith (Eds.), Personality Assessment, 2 nd Ed. (pp. 433-469).	
	New York, NY: Routledge.	
	> Review Case 1's Assessment Results Towards the First Integrated Report	
4/11	➤ Discussion of Integrated Report Writing	INTEGRATED
	➤ Assessment Supervision and Consultations	REPORT #1
	Finkelstein, H., & Tuckman, A. (1997). Supervision of psychological assessment:	DUE
	A developmental model. Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 28, 92-	
	95.	
	Finn, S. E. (2007). One-up, one-down, and in-between: A collaborative model of	
	assessment consultation. In our clients' shoes: Theory and techniques of therapeutic	
	assessment (pp. 97-116). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.	
	Finn, S. E. (2007). Collaborative child assessment as a family systems	
	intervention, In our clients' shoes: Theory and techniques of therapeutic assessment	
	(pp. 193-210). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.	
	Reference: Krishnamurthy, R. et al. (2004). Achieving competency in	
	psychological assessment: Directions for education and training. Journal of	
	Clinical Psychology, 60, 725-739.	
	> Watch and Discuss Video: Therapeutic Assessment with Adults (Stephen E.	
	Finn)	
4/18	> Assessment and Psychotherapy	Readings
	Mihura, J. L., & Graceffo, R. A. (2014). Multimethod assessment and treatment	
	planning. In C. J. Hopwood & R. F. Bornstein (Eds.), Multimethod clinical	Bring all
	assessment (pp. 285-318). Guilford Press.	materials for
	Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. G. (2016). Brief instruments for treatment	Case 2
	planning, monitoring, and outcome assessment. Handbook of psychological	
	assessment (pp. 653-670, 6 th ed.). Wiley.	
	Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. G. (2016). Treatment planning and clinical	
	decision making. Handbook of psychological assessment (pp. 671-706, 6 th ed.).	
	Wiley.	
	Case 2 Discussion and Review of Assessment Results Towards 2 nd Integrated	
	Report	

4/25	Focus on Students: Application to Careers: These are from 2014, need to	Readings,
1/ 23	update for the 2017 Personality Assessment class	Discussion of
	Settings: VA, Hospital, Academic	Application to
	Topics: PTSD, Anxiety, Diabetes Type 2, Medication Management,	Students'
	Coping	Careers
	> Readings	
	Sweet, J. J., Tovian, S. M., & Suchy, Y. (2003). Psychological assessment in	
	medical settings. In J. R. Graham, J. A. Naglieri, J. R. Graham, J. A.	
	Naglieri (Eds.), Handbook of psychology: Assessment psychology, Vol. 10 (pp.	
	291-315). Hoboken, NJ, US: John Wiley & Sons Inc.	
	LeMont, D., Moorehead, M. K., Parish, M. S., Reto, C. S., & Ritz, S. J. (2004).	
	Suggestions for the pre-surgical psychological assessment of bariatric	
	surgery candidates. American Society for Bariatric Surgery, 1-29.	
	Collins, C. A., & Labott, S. M. (2007). Psychological assessment of candidates for	
	solid organ transplantation. Professional Psychology: Research and	
	Practice, 38(2), 150.	
	Nelson, N. W., Anderson, C. R., Hoelzle, J. B., & Arbisi, P. A. (2014).	
	Psychological Assessment of Veterans in Outpatient Mental Health	
	Settings. Psychological Assessment of Veterans, 17.	
	Reference:	
	Walfish, S., Vance, D., & Fabricatore, A. N. (2007). Psychological evaluation of	
	bariatric surgery applicants: procedures and reasons for delay or denial of	
	surgery. Obesity surgery, 17, 1578-1583. [Just Table 2]	
	Olbrisch, M. E., Benedict, S. M., Ashe, K., & Levenson, J. L. (2002).	
	Psychological assessment and care of organ transplant patients. Journal of	
	Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 70, 771.	
	Blevins, C. A., Lee, D. J., & Weathers, F. W. (2014). Psychological Assessment of	
	Veterans with PTSD and Other Anxiety Disorders. Psychological Assessment	
	of Veterans, 297.	
Finals	5/01 Integrated Report #2 Due (by 5pm)	FINAL EXAM
Week	5/03 FINAL EXAM	&
		INTEGRATED
		REPORT #2