**REGISTRATION INFORMATION & FEES**

**Fees:**
- $60.00 for 4.5 Hours of CLE (application pending with The Supreme Court of Ohio)
- Students may attend the symposium free of charge
- Boxed Lunch Available for $10.00 [RSVP required]

Name: ____________________________________________________________

Organization/Title: __________________________________________________

Address: __________________________________________________________

City, State and Zip: __________________________________________________

Daytime Phone: ___________________________________________________

Email: ____________________________________________________________

___ $70 for CLE credit and boxed lunch
___ $60 for CLE credit only
___ $10 for boxed lunch only
___ Free attendance (no CLE, no lunch)

Check or money order payable to The University of Toledo Foundation

___ American Express     ___ Visa     ___ Master Card

Credit Card #: _____________________________________________________

Expiration Date: ___________________________________________________

Signature: ________________________________________________________

Register in one of several ways by Tuesday, March 10, 2009

1) Mail completed registration form to:
   The University of Toledo Law Review
   The University of Toledo College of Law
   Mail Stop 507
   2801 West Bancroft St.
   Toledo, Ohio 43606-3390

2) Fax completed registration form to Law Review at: 419.530.4629

3) Download & complete registration form at www.utlaw.edu/exparte

4) Call 419.530.2962

For directions and other information, please visit www.utlaw.edu/exparte or call.

---

**EX PARTE YOUNG: THE FONT OF FEDERAL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT**

One hundred years ago, the United States Supreme Court decided the seminal case of *Ex parte Young*, authorizing private individuals to sue state officials who violate their federal rights. In *Ex parte Young*, the Court balanced the sovereignty of states against the federal rights of injured citizens and created a crucial vehicle for the vindication of those rights. Without *Ex parte Young*, there would have been no *Brown v. Board of Education* — indeed, there would be no significant doctrine of individual constitutional rights and millions of injured Americans would be left without access to federal courts. In recent years, the U.S. Supreme Court has revived the doctrine of sovereign immunity and increased the procedural hurdles for plaintiffs seeking to enforce their federal rights. These developments have increased the importance of *Ex parte Young* to federal rights litigation in the twenty-first century.

Participants in this symposium will discuss the historical significance of *Ex parte Young* and the role that it plays in maintaining our system of federalism. They will also explore recent developments and suggest strategies to use *Ex parte Young* as a vehicle for federal rights enforcement in light of recent Supreme Court rulings. Participants’ papers will appear in Volume 40, Issue 4 of The University of Toledo Law Review.
Panel 1: Ex parte Young and Federal Rights Enforcement in the Twenty-First Century

Panelists will discuss the consequences of whether Ex parte Young matters to individuals seeking to enforce their federal rights and the attorneys who represent them. During the Supreme Court’s recent “federalism revolution,” the Court has repeatedly referred to Ex parte Young as the principle exception to the doctrine of sovereign immunity. Panelists will explain how to use Ex parte Young to circumvent barriers to federal rights enforcement that the Court has recently established.

Panelists:
- Michael E. Solimine, Professor of Law, University of Toledo
- Robert Schapiro, Samuelson University School of Law
- David L. Sloss, Lawyers for the Public Interest
- Marianne Engelman Lado, General Counsel, New York Lawyers for the Public Interest
- Rochelle Bobroff, Herbert Semmel Federal Rights Project, National Senior Citizens Law Center

Panel 2: The Ex parte Young Balance and the Challenge of Federalism

Panelists will explore the balance of federalism as represented by Ex parte Young between the states’ sovereignty and the federal rights enjoyed by individuals in the country. Does the availability of relief via Ex parte Young undermine our system of federalism by undermining states’ sovereign immunity? Or, is Ex parte Young an effective means of preserving the supremacy of federal law without unduly infringing upon the sovereign dignity of the states? Panelists will also explore the less recognized implications of Ex parte Young on our system of separation of powers.

Panelists:
- Charles Copeland, Ex parte Young and the Constitutional Right to Enforce Federal Rights
- Marcia McCormick, The Rule of Law and the Transformation of the Federal Judiciary
- James Leonard, Ex parte Young and the History of Foreign Affairs Law
- Edward A. Purcell, Jr., Ex parte Young and the Transformation of the Federal Judiciary
- Rebecca E. Zietlow, Ex parte Young and the Role of Judges and Advocates in Enforcing Federal Rights

Panel 3: Ex parte Young’s Role in the History of Federal Courts

Panelists will explore the historical context around Ex parte Young, a case which represented the expansion of judicial power even as popular sentiment resisted judicial activism during the Lochner era. As the century progressed, Ex parte Young became a critical tool for advocates of judicial activism both to assert federalism and to erode it. Panelists will discuss Congress’s reaction to this controversial case and consider the changing attitudes towards the federal judiciary throughout the twentieth century.

Panelists:
- James Lindner, Ex parte Young in Hard Times
- Marianne Engelman Lado, The Balance of Federalism
- Rochelle Bobroff, Ex parte Young and the Expansion of Judicial Power
- Edward A. Purcell, Jr., Ex parte Young and the Transformation of the Federal Judiciary
- Rebecca E. Zietlow, Ex parte Young and the Role of Judges and Advocates in Enforcing Federal Rights

Panel 4: The University of Toledo College of Law}
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