## Department of Mathematics University of Toledo

Ψ.\_

Master of Science Degree Comprehensive Examination Probability and Statistical Theory

July 2, 2002

Instructions:

Do all four problems Show all of your computations in your blue book Prove all of your assertions or quote appropriate theorems Books, notes, and calculators may be used Problems are equally weighted This is a three hour test 1. A quality control plan for an assembly line involves sampling n = 10 finished items per day and counting Y, the number of defective items. If  $\theta$  denotes the probability of observing a defective item, then Y has a binomial distribution, when the number of items produced by the line is large. In other words, we can assume that  $Y \sim B(n, \theta)$  with n = 10. However,  $\theta$  varies from day to day and is assumed to have a uniform distribution on the interval from 0 to 1/4.

- (a) Find the expected value of Y for any given day.
- (b) Find the standard deviation of Y.
- (c) Find the correlation coefficient between Y and  $\theta$ .

## **2.** Let

$$Y_1 = \beta_1 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{a}}$$
$$Y_2 = 2\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \epsilon_2$$
$$Y_3 = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \epsilon_3,$$

where  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ , and  $\epsilon_3$  are uncorrelated random variables with  $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$  and  $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$  for i = 1, 2, 3.

- (a) Find the least squares estimates  $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$  of  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ .
- (b) Find  $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2)$ .
- (c) Find  $\operatorname{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$ .
- (d) Suppose further that  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$  are iid N(0, 1) random variables. Find a complete and sufficient statistic for  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ .

- 3. A random sample  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is drawn from the distribution with the following pdf:  $f(x; \alpha) = 2x / \alpha^2$  for  $0 < x < \alpha$ .
  - a. Find a sufficient statistic for  $\alpha$ .
  - b. Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\alpha$ .
  - c. Show that the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\alpha$  is biased, but asymptotically unbiased.
  - d. Find its CDF and show that it is consistent.
  - e. Consider the test of  $H_0:\alpha = 2$  versus the one-sided alternative  $H_1:\alpha < 2$ . Find the likelihood ratio test for these hypotheses.
  - f. If the observed data were .8, 1.2, 1.2, 1.4, what would be your conclusion? Use level of significance equal to .02.
- 4. In this problem we will consider the <u>Sign test</u> and its power for two different distributions. We will see that the test is independent of the underlying distribution, but the power is not. Let  $m_X$  and  $m_Y$  denote the medians of the two distributions, and let  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$  denote the means of X and Y. We will test H<sub>0</sub>:  $m_X = m_Y$  versus the one tailed alternative, H<sub>1</sub>:  $m_X > m_Y$ .
  - a. For n=2, that is, with data  $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , find the critical region for the test

based on the Sign test statistic that gives the smallest  $\alpha > 0$ . What is the level of significance for this test?

b. Let  $\Phi(x)$  denote the CDF for the standard normal distribution. Say that our data (X,Y)' comes from a bivariate normal distribution with mean ( $\mu_X$ ,  $\mu_Y$ )' and

covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In terms of  $\Phi$ , find the power of the test from

part a as a function of these five parameters. Show that the power is an increasing function of  $\Delta = \mu_X - \mu_Y$ .

- c. Now say that our data comes from a distribution defined on the unit square  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  with  $f(x) = c(1-\alpha x)$ , with  $\alpha < 1$ . Find the constant c and the means  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$  and the medians  $m_X$  and  $m_Y$ .
- d. Find the power of the test from part a for this distribution. Show that it is an increasing function of either  $\Delta_{\mu} = \mu_X \mu_Y$  or  $\Delta_m = m_X m_Y$ .