

The University of Toledo

Self-Study Report

Submitted to the North Central
Association of Colleges and Schools

Spring 2002



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	ii
Appendices	vii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
Index	I-1

INTRODUCTION

Profile of The University of Toledo.....	1
Summary of the University’s Accreditation History	2
Purposes of the Self-Study.....	3
Organization and Focus of the Self-Study	3
Review of the Self-Study Process.....	4
Impact of Change on University Functions Since 1992	5
Extra-Institutional Change – State Level.....	5
Extra-institutional Change – Regional.....	9
Internal Change.....	10
Responses to the 1992 NCA Team Report.....	16
Request for Re-Accreditation	20

CHAPTER 1

Criterion I: The University of Toledo Has a Clear and Publicly Stated Purpose Consistent With its Mission and Appropriate to an Institution of Higher Education

Executive Summary	1-1
Mission Overview.....	1-2
Evidence Supporting Criterion One	
A. The University has Short- and Long-Term Institutional and Educational Goals	1-2
Institution-wide Strategic Plan.....	1-2
College and Department or Program Strategic Plans	1-3
B. UT Utilizes Processes Involving University Constituencies for Evaluating Purposes	1-4

C. UT Utilizes Decision-making Processes Appropriate to Stated Mission and Purposes .. 1-4
 D. Understanding of Stated Purposes by Institutional Constituencies 1-8
 E. UT Keeps the Public Informed of its Institutional and Educational Goals..... 1-9
 F. Support for Freedom of Inquiry for Faculty and Students 1-10
 G. Commitment to Excellence in Teaching and Learning..... 1-11
 Key Strengths of the Criterion 1-14
 Major Challenges of the Criterion 1-15
 Recommendations..... 1-15

CHAPTER 2

Criterion II: The University of Toledo Has Effectively Organized the Human, Financial and Physical Resources Necessary to Accomplish its Purposes

Executive Summary 2-1
 Evidence Supporting Criterion Two
 A. UT is Governed by a Board of Trustees 2-1
 B. UT is Administered Effectively Through Well-defined
 Organizational Structures, Policies and Procedures 2-2
 Academic Affairs 2-2
 Enrollment Services 2-4
 Vice President and General Counsel..... 2-5
 Student Services..... 2-7
 Finance and Administration 2-7
 Government Relations 2-12
 Educational and Information Technology 2-13
 Institutional Advancement 2-14
 Intercollegiate Athletics 2-14
 C. UT has Qualified and Experienced Administrators Who Exercise Appropriate
 Responsibility 2-15
 D. Systems of Governance at UT Involve University Constituencies in
 Decision-making 2-16
 E. UT has an Adequate Number of Faculty with Appropriate Credentials..... 2-18
 F. UT Administrators are Accountable 2-19
 Administration and Board of Trustees 2-19
 Faculty..... 2-19
 G. UT Enrolls a Sufficient Number of Students to Meet its Educational Purposes 2-20
 H. UT Provides Services That Afford All Admitted Students an Opportunity to Succeed 2-20
 I. UT Has a Physical Plant That Supports Effective Teaching and Learning 2-26

J. UT Makes a Conscientious Effort to Provide Students With a Safe and Healthy Environment	2-30
K. UT Has Academic Resources and Equipment Adequate to Support its Purposes.....	2-31
L. UT Has Established a Pattern of Financial Expenditures That Demonstrates its Commitment to Provide the Environment and Human Resources Necessary for Effective Teaching and Learning	2-33
M. UT Manages its Financial Resources to Maximize its Capability to Meet Its Purposes	2-35
Key Strengths of the Criterion	2-35
Major Challenges of the Criterion	2-36
Recommendations.....	2-37

CHAPTER 3

Criterion III: The University of Toledo is Accomplishing its Educational and Other Purposes

Executive Summary	3-1
Evidence Supporting Criterion Three	
A. The University of Toledo’s Educational Programs are Appropriate to an Institution of Higher Learning	3-1
College of Arts and Sciences	3-3
College of Business Administration	3-8
College of Education.....	3-11
College of Engineering	3-15
College of Health and Human Services	3-19
College of Law.....	3-23
College of Pharmacy.....	3-27
University College	3-30
University Honors Program	3-33
B. UT Assesses Appropriate Academic Achievement in All of its Programs	3-35
C. UT has Graduate Programs that Distinguish Clearly Graduate from Undergraduate Offering; Expect Students and Faculty to Value and Engage in Research, Scholarship and Creative Activity; Restrict Graduate Academic Credit for Prior Learning to Credit Validated by Examination, Portfolio, or Transfer from an Appropriately Accredited Institution; are Approved, Taught and Evaluated by Graduate Faculty with Appropriate Credentials and Experience; and Use the Results of Regular Internal and External Peer-Review to Ensure Quality	3-44

D. UT Produces Transcripts that Follow Commonly Accepted Practices and Accurately Reflect Student Learning	3-51
E. UT Courses and Programs are Characterized by Effective Teaching.....	3-51
F. UT Provides Ongoing Support for Professional Development for Faculty, Staff, and Administrators.....	3-51
G. UT has Student Services that Support the Institution’s Purposes.....	3-52
H. UT Staff and Faculty Perform Service That Contribute to the Institution’s Effectiveness	3-52
Key Strengths of the Criterion	3-53
Major Challenges of the Criterion	3-53
Recommendations.....	3-54

CHAPTER 4

Criterion IV: The Institution Can Continue to Accomplish its Purposes and Strengthen Its Educational Effectiveness

Executive Summary	4-1
Evidence Supporting Criterion Four	
A. UT Has a Current Resource Base That Positions the Institution for the Future	4-1
Financial Resources	4-2
Personnel Resources	4-9
Physical Resources.....	4-11
B. UT has Decision-Making Processes with Tested Capabilities of Responding Effectively to Anticipated and Unanticipated Challenges to the Institution.....	4-11
Academic Affairs	4-11
Enrollment Management.....	4-12
Budget and Finance.....	4-13
Facilities Management.....	4-14
C. UT has Structured Assessment Processes that are Continuous, that Involve Many Institutional Constituencies and that Provide Useful Information for Planning and University-Wide Program Improvement	4-14
D. UT has Plans as Well as Ongoing, Effective Planning Processes Necessary to the Institution’s Continuance	4-17
Institution-Wide Strategic Planning.....	4-17
Planning for Specific Institutional Functions	4-20
Key Strengths of the Criterion	4-24
Major Challenges of the Criterion	4-25
Recommendations.....	4-26

CHAPTER 5

Criterion V: The University of Toledo Can Continue to Accomplish its Purposes and Strengthen its Eductaional Effectiveness

Executive Summary 5-1

Evidence Support Criterion Five

- A. UT has Student, Faculty, and Staff Documents that Describe Institutional Relationships with Those Constituencies, Including Appropriate Grievance Procedures 5-1
- B. UT has Policies and Practices for the Resolution of Internal Disputes Within the Institution’s Constituency 5-4
- C. UT has Policies and procedures Consistent with its Mission Related to Equity of Treatment, Non-Discrimination, Affirmative Action and Other Means of Enhancing Access to Education and the Building of a Diverse Educational Community 5-4
 - Building Diversity..... 5-5
- D. UT’s Institutional Publications, Statements and Advertising Describe Accurately and Fairly the Institution, its Operations, and its Programs 5-9
- E. UT’s Relationships with Other Institutions of Higher Learning are Conducted Ethically and Responsibly..... 5-10
- F. UT Provides Appropriate Support for Resources Shared with Other Institutions..... 5-11
- G. UT has Policies and Procedures Regarding Institutional Relationships with and Responsibility for Intercollegiate Athletics, Student Associations, and Subsidiary or Related Business Enterprises 5-11
- H. UT has Oversight Processes for Monitoring Contractual Agreements with Government, Industry, and Other Organizations 5-14

Key Strengths of the Criterion 5-15

Major Challenges of the Criterion 5-16

Recommendations 5-16

CHAPTER 6

General Institutional Requirements 6-1

CHAPTER 7

Basic Institutional Data Forms..... 7-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Demographic Data A-1

Appendix B - Financial SummaryB-1

Appendix C - University Organization.....C-1

Appendix D - Strategic Planning Committee D-1

Appendix E - Accreditation Committees.....E-1

LIST OF TABLES

Introduction

Table 1 State Subsidy Contributions to the UT Budget from 1975 to 20016

Criterion I

Table 1.1 Standing Committees of Faculty Senate 1-6
Table 1.2 University-Wide Committees 1-7

Criterion II

Table 2.1 Student Enrollment by College 2-20
Table 2.2 Office of Accessibility Accommodations and Services..... 2-24

Criterion IV

Table 4.1 Annualized Full-time, In-state Undergraduate Tuition and Fees (99-02)..... 4-4
Table 4.2 Levels of Giving to The University of Toledo Foundation, 1996-2000..... 4-5
Table 4.3 Market Values of Endowment Fund..... 4-6
Table 4.4 University of Toledo General Receipt Bonds 4-6
Table 4.5 Ratio Scores For Fiscal Health Calculations 4-6
Table 4.6 FY 2000 Fiscal Watch Analysis - Institutional Ratios and Scores 4-8
Table 4.7 Faculty/Student Ratios for 1992 and 2001..... 4-10

Criterion V

Table 5.1 Student-Faculty-Staff-Administrator Diversity - 1994 and 2001 5-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Introduction

Figure 1

1.1a. Enrollment - Institutional.....	11
1.1b Enrollment - Undergraduate	11
1.1c Enrollment - Graduate.....	11